## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS Dallas Division

CHARLENE CARTER,	Civil Case No. 3:17-cv-02278-X
Plaintiff,	
V.	
SOUTHWEST AIRLINES CO., AND TRANSPORT WORKERS UNION OF AMERICA, LOCAL 556,	
Defendants.	

## [Proposed] Order on Plaintiff Charlene Carter's Motion in Limine

The Court, having considered Plaintiff Charlene Carter's Motion *in Limine*, hereby rules as follows:

Carter's motion to preclude evidence regarding arbitration proceedings (¶1):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence suggesting that Carter's Facebook messages to President Stone or her public complaints were not protected Activity (¶2):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence that she "participated in the Women's March" (¶3):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence regarding picture of t-shirt (¶4):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence that Carter called Local 556 President Audrey Stone a "murderer" (¶5):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence that Carter referred to Local 556 or any of its officials or members as a "criminal" or "crook" (¶6):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence that Carter		

"threatened" President Audrey Stone (¶7):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence that Carter wrote a Facebook message stating "#Democrats – This is what you support" (¶8):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence regarding Southwest managers' subjective views regarding Abortion (¶9):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence regarding Carter's lack of subject evidence regarding Southwest managers' private discriminatory intent (¶10):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence that Carter believed President Stone tried to get her fired only because she was an objector (¶11):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence regarding Local 556's representation of Carter after President Stone reported her to Southwest for discipline (¶12):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence presented by Local 556 regarding an undue hardship defense (¶13):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence about whether Carter requested a religious accommodation (¶14):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence that Southwest fired Carter for "the manner of communications, insults, threats, and graphic images" (¶15):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence that Carter could engage in her religious observances, beliefs, and practices without violating	Granted:	Denied:
Southwest's social media policies (¶16):  Carter's motion to preclude evidence that Southwest had no actual knowledge that Carter was religious or that her actions or statements	Granteu.	Demed.
were based on her religious belief (¶17):	Granted:	Denied:

Carter's motion to preclude evidence that Carter failed to notify Southwest of her religious beliefs "prior to violating the [social media] policy" (¶18):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence regarding Southwest offering or Carter declining reinstatement as part of Southwest's "Last Chance Agreement" (¶19):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence regarding any person's specific support for Hillary Clinton or Donald Trump (¶20):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence regarding Southwest's July 9, 2020 Motion and Request for Sanctions (¶21):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence regarding settlement negotiations (¶22):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence that Plaintiff asserted privilege claims (¶23):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude attempts to elicit testimony from Plaintiff about communications with her lawyers (¶24):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude attempts to request Plaintiff's counsel to produce documents, to stipulate to any fact, or to make any agreement in the presence of the jury (¶25):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude statements of law before the Court rules on the law applicable to the case (¶26):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence that Defendants were, or were not insured (¶27):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence of Defendants' financial adversity or Plaintiff's financial prosperity (¶28):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence		

## Case 3:17-cv-02278-X Document 247-1 Filed 06/09/22 Page 4 of 4 PageID 8170

that Plaintiff received contributions from family, friends, or her husband (¶29):	Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude references to collateral sources of employee benefits (¶30)	: Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence that any recovery by Plaintiff either would or would not be subject to federal income taxati or any other form of taxation (¶31):	on Granted:	Denied:
Carter's motion to preclude evidence that Plaintiff filed this Motion in Limine or that the Court ruled in response (¶32):	Granted:	Denied:
SIGNED on, 2022.		
Brantle	y Starr States District Judge	